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BACKGROUND

Louvres are used to remove droplets of juice or liquor from vapour produced in evaporators and vacuum pans, and to collect dust from air exiting sugar dryers.

LSEA I\textsuperscript{TM}, LSEA II\textsuperscript{TM} and LSEA 110\textsuperscript{TM} are louvres designed by ALL METAL SOLUTIONS (ROLLPRESS-WRIGHTS). These louvres are modifications of the standard “Cattle Creek” (CCK) louvre design.

In 1997, SRI was commissioned by ALL METAL SOLUTIONS (ROLLPRESS-WRIGHTS) to conduct a test program on LSEA I\textsuperscript{TM}, LSEA II\textsuperscript{TM}, LSEA 110\textsuperscript{TM} and a range of other louvres including POLYBAFFLE louvres, CCK louvres, wave plate eliminators and inverted channel maze separators.

The pressure drop ($\Delta P$) and the limiting face velocity ($V_{LF}$) were selected as indicators of louvre performance.
PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

PRESSURE DROP
\((\Delta P)\)

\(\Delta P\) occurs in the vapour flow through the louvres.

A velocity square law expression is used for the prediction of \(\Delta P\).

LIMITING FACE VELOCITY
\((V_{LF})\)

\(V_{LF}\) is the face velocity of gas or vapour below which re-entrainment of large droplets will not occur.

\(V_{LF}\) is estimated from the *Souders-Brown* equation.

For good efficiency, the actual gas or vapour velocity should be less than \(V_{LF}\) in order to prevent re-entrainment of the collected liquid.

*Generally, a good louvre has a low \(\Delta P\) and a high \(V_{LF}\).*
TEST APPARATUS

Consisted of an air blower, water sprays and a duct fitted with a selection of test boxes containing the louvres.

The louvres could be oriented at variable angles, and were tested at the following orientations.

$V_{LF}$ was measured with a *Velocicalc Plus 8360* hot wire anemometer.

$\Delta P$ was measured with the differential pressure function of the *Velocicalc* unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOUvre</th>
<th>ORIENTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLYBAFFLES (large)</td>
<td>Horizontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCK</td>
<td>20° tilt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$LSEA 110^{TM}$</td>
<td>20° tilt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$LSEA I^{TM}$</td>
<td>20° tilt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$LSEA II^{TM}$</td>
<td>20° tilt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave plate eliminator</td>
<td>20° tilt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverted channel maze separator (ICMS)</td>
<td>Horizontal 4° tilt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CONCLUSIONS

Figure 1 shows that, in a final evaporator, where an upper limit of $\Delta P$ of about 400 Pa is recommended, LSEA I\textsuperscript{TM} has the lowest $\Delta P$ value at 100 Pa. POLYBAFFLES and the horizontal inverted channel maze separator follow at 110 Pa, and the $\Delta P$ for LSEA II\textsuperscript{TM} is 185 Pa.

Figure 2 shows the louvre area required in final evaporator conditions (at 100 t/h vapour). The louvre area required steadily decreases from the CCK to the LSEA II\textsuperscript{TM} and the latter is about 17% higher than the POLYBAFFLES.

The $V_{LF}$ values of the LSEA I\textsuperscript{TM}, LSEA II\textsuperscript{TM} and LSEA 110\textsuperscript{TM} are about 20-40% higher than the $V_{LF}$ of the CCK but they are about 15-30% lower than the $V_{LF}$ of the POLYBAFFLE.

Figure 3 shows the capture efficiency of very fine droplets. CCK and wave plate eliminators were most efficient in this mode. LSEA 110\textsuperscript{TM} is the next best followed by LSEA II\textsuperscript{TM} which is in turn better than the POLYBAFFLES and LSEA I\textsuperscript{TM}. In final evaporator conditions, the liquor is quite viscous and fine droplets should not be formed.